Activity: Line Item Construction and Maintenance

Activity Summary

			2006			Change
	2004	2005		Program Changes	Budget	From 2005
Program Component		Estimate			Request	(+/-)
Line Item Construction and Maintenance	214,295	189,748	+17,000	+14,435	221,183	+31,435
Total Requirements	214,295	189,748	+17,000	+14,435	221,183	+31,435

FY 2006 amount includes \$17.0 million in prior year balances transferred from Federal Land Acquisition.

Authorization

16 U.S.C. 1 The National Park Service Organic Act

Public Law 105-178 The Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century (TEA-21)

Activity Overview

The National Park Service Line Item Construction and Maintenance Program provides for the construction, rehabilitation, and replacement of those facilities needed to accomplish the management objectives approved for each park using a two-tier priority system that maximizes construction investments. The first tier assesses and prioritizes improvements related to health and safety, resource protection, maintenance needs, and visitor services. High priority projects in the first tier are then ranked using Choosing-By-Advantage methodology to evaluate the relative benefits provided by individual projects, and projects are scored according to the Department's Five-Year Deferred Maintenance and Capital Improvement Plan criteria. The NPS incorporates a facility condition index (FCI) and the asset priority index (API) which measures the facility's importance to the mission of a park to ensure that its capital asset investments are made as efficiently as possible. This allows NPS to benchmark improvements on individual assets, and measure improvements at the individual asset level, park level, and national level.

Fiscal Year 2006 Base Program Overview

Based on the latest physical inventory data available, the National Park System contains approximately 18,000 buildings; 4,246 housing units (approximately 1,000 of which are classified as historic); 5,456 paved miles of public park roads; 6,544 miles of unpaved roads; approximately 15,000 miles of paved and unpaved trails; over 1,700 campgrounds and picnic areas; over 1,803 road and trail bridges and tunnels; 1,336 water systems; 1,527 wastewater systems; 403 electrical systems; and numerous monuments, signs, amphitheaters, fortifications, ruins, airfields, and other special features. Without the construction activity, access to park areas, the preservation and rehabilitation of historic and archeological structures, the construction of park recreation and operational facilities—such as museums and other interpretive structures, and the provisions of safe and sanitary water and sewer systems, would be impossible. Projects are also programmed to protect the existing Federal investment in such facilities through reconstruction and rehabilitation projects and to restore lands to natural conditions through the removal of outdated or excess facilities.

Facility Condition Index: Line Item Construction prioritization is an evolving process. Currently the National Park Service (NPS) uses a two tier priority system to maximize its construction investments. The first tier of evaluation factors assesses improvements related to health and safety, resource protection, maintenance needs, and visitor services. Projects demonstrating high priorities in the first tier are then ranked using Choosing-By-Advantage methodology to evaluate the relative benefits provided by individual projects. The NPS has recently completed condition assessments for most of its facilities, and established a Facility Condition Index (FCI) for each asset. The Facility Condition Index quantifies the condition of a structure by dividing the estimated amount needed to correct its deferred maintenance backlog by its current estimated replacement value. To ensure that its capital asset investments are made as efficiently as possible, the NPS is incorporating FCI analysis into the prioritization process by comparing the existing FCI of a facility against the proposed FCI after the construction investment. Based

on this output, the NPS will then be able to benchmark improvements on individual assets, and measure improvements at the individual asset level, park level, and national level. The NPS is testing the use of the asset priority index (API) to determine the relative importance of assets at each park to assist in the decision-making for the most efficient allocation of funds for construction, maintenance, and repair or rehabilitation. The API ranks shown on the FY 2006 construction project data sheets are based on a scale of 1 to 40, with 40 denoting the highest priority. A list of proposed FY 2006 line construction projects demonstrating the effects of applying the FCI follows below.

				POST-
PARK, PROJECT	PROJECT NUMBER	ESTIMATE (\$000)	CURRENT FCI	CONSTR FCI
Independence NHP, Rehab Deschler-Morris- Bringhurst House and Utilities and Exhibits	019891	3,932	0.13	0.01
Shenandoah NP, Rehab and Remodel Panorama Facility as Visitor/Learning Center	012009	4,535	0.22	0.00
Lake Mead NRA, Replace Failed and Leaking Water Distributions Systems, Parkwide, Phase 1	055926	6,642	0.33	0.05
Fort Larned NHS, Stabilize and Restore North Officer's Quarters	077446	1,159	0.49	0.00
San Francisco Maritime NHP, Repair Historic Sala Burton Maritime Museum Building	005585	4,350	0.17	0.02
Saugus Iron Works NHS, Restore Saugus River Turning Basin and Dock	060214	3,078	1.00	0.00

With the funding expected through FY 2009, the NPS will bring its asset portfolio into acceptable condition overall, as measured by the Facility Condition Index.

Capital Asset Planning: In FY 2000, the Service implemented guidelines for developing Capital Asset Plans (CAP) for major line item construction projects. Information in the CAP is used to track the performance of projects against the approved baselines and Servicewide goals. Each CAP contains a section listing specific Servicewide goals to be accomplished by the project. Projects failing to meet quarterly baseline goals are identified and appropriate steps are implemented to improve project performance.

Facility Modeling Program: In FY 2004, the Service completed development and distribution of several facility models including maintenance facilities and visitor centers. The models provide the Service with guidelines for acceptable building sizing, site development and costs of these facilities.

5-Year Deferred Maintenance and Capital Improvement Plan: The National Park Service has developed a comprehensive plan to identify projects of the greatest need in priority order, with special focus on critical health and safety and critical resource protection. Limited changes to the list are made annually to factor in Congressional appropriations and changing situations in the field. Examples of circumstances that could change the list are maintenance/construction emergencies from severe storm damage, descriptions of work that change as a result of condition assessments (e.g., the scraping of boards for repainting reveal extensive wood deterioration requiring complete replacement), or identification of a failing sewer system. The Service is also placing greater emphasis on developing projects to improve structural fire protection and incorporating these projects into the Five-Year Deferred Maintenance and Capital Improvement Plan. A summary table of the Five-Year Line Item Construction Plan (FY 2006 - 2010) and complete project descriptions of the FY 2006 construction projects are provided in this submission. The FY 2006 – 2010 construction project description sheets are to be provided in a separate volume. The FY 2006 deferred maintenance project descriptions and lists showing all Repair and Rehabilitation projects for the Five-Year Plan (FY 2006 – 2010), are also provided in a companion volume.

All eligible NPS line item construction projects are scored according to the Department of the Interior priority system that gives the highest scores, and paramount consideration for funding, to those projects that will correct critical heath and safety problems, especially if the project involves the repair of a facility for which corrective maintenance had been deferred. The following are the weighted ranking criteria, in priority order: Critical Health and Safety Deferred Maintenance need, Critical Health and Safety Capital Improvement need, Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance need, Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement need, Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance need, Compliance and Other Deferred Maintenance need, and Other Capital Improvement need. These scores, and the criteria against which they are rated, are shown on the justification for each line time construction project.

Based on the weighting factors accompanying each category listed above, projects are scored with a weighted score not to exceed 1,000 points. Then these rankings are banded into the following categories: 800-1000 points; 500-800 points; and 0-500 points. Urgent life safety/deferred maintenance projects are included in the highest band. The NPS also uses a comparative factor analysis to evaluate projects within each band. This process assists in determining the priorities and phasing of projects within each band.

Servicewide Development Advisory Board: The Servicewide Development Advisory Board (DAB), created in March 1998, ensures that Servicewide development strategies are met in a sustainable and cost-efficient context. The DAB consists of four Associate Directors, three Regional Directors, two park superintendents, and is supported by professional staff. Associated with, and participating in, all DAB meetings are five non-NPS Advisors who bring an external prospective to the process. Projects reviewed by the Development Advisory Board include: line item construction projects; large recreation fee demonstration projects; road improvement projects involving realignment, new construction or extensive reconstruction; partnership projects including major Concessioner developments inside parks; and unique construction activities.

The DAB holds meetings throughout the year. Projects presented are reviewed for technical requirements, sustainability, value-based decision making, and policy guidelines. The DAB reviews have resulted in extensive use of value analysis in the early planning/design phases of all projects. The application of value analysis principles has resulted in significant cost avoidance and improved benefits reducing individual project costs as they proceed through the design process.

The FY 2006 National Park Service Line Item Construction request represents a \$31.435 million increase from the program for FY 2005 to aid in accomplishing the President's goal of reducing the backlog of unfunded work. The line item construction program continues to be a major part of the President's initiative to reduce Servicewide backlogged infrastructure needs. The FY 2006 Line Item Construction and Maintenance Projects list consists of 46 projects in 39 National Park System areas. These projects are listed alphabetically by park on the following chart, the FY 2006 Comprehensive Construction Table. Following the individual Project Data Sheets is the Five-Year Maintenance and Capital Improvement Plan.

FY 2004 Program Performance Accomplishments

Obligation Rate: The obligation rate is used as a benchmark to determine the effectiveness of the Service in implementing its line item program. It is calculated based on the total funds obligated in any year against the total funds available (both current and prior year). The ability to obligate funds is affected by many variables including the level of planning that has been accomplished, where the project is currently scheduled at the time of appropriation, the complexity and sequencing requirements of the project, local and national economic and market conditions, etc. The rate has been steadily improving since FY 1999 as follows:

FY 1999 – 40%	FY 2000 – 47%	FY 2001 - 44%
FY 2002 – 49%	FY 2003 - 51%	FY 2004 - 53%

Facility Modeling Program: Visitor center and maintenance facility modeling programs were completed and distributed to the field for use in sizing building, determining site improvements, and setting target

cost values. Several other facility modeling programs for entrance stations, comfort stations, administrative buildings, curatorial buildings, and contact stations were completed for release in FY 2004 and will be released for field use in FY 2005.

The NPS continued to use value based decision techniques to assure the Line Item Construction Program represents best value and sound decision making at all steps of the development of individual projects. In FY 2004 the NPS conducted approximately 90 value analyses/engineering studies producing approximately a total of \$21.0-24.0 million in cost avoidance. Historically, for each dollar invested in value analysis studies and reports, a cost avoidance of twenty dollars is achieved.

The role of the DAB was expanded to also serve as the NPS Investment Review Board for capital construction investments. This expanded role for the DAB meets the requirements of the Capital Planning and Investment Control guidelines issued by the Department of the Interior. As the Investment Review Board, the DAB will be involved in developing long range capital construction investment goals and implementing those goals through the various NPS capital construction funding programs, including Line Item Construction and Maintenance.

FY 2005 Planned Program Performance

Obligation rates: Completion of design development, construction advertising and construction award is the linchpin by which specific NPS goals for Resource Protection, Recreation, and Serving Communities are accomplished through the Line Item Construction and Maintenance Program (LICP). The goal for the LICP is:

- Complete pre-design activities and Development Advisory Board review for each project prior to including the project in the NPS LICP budget submittal.
- Complete design development activities for 100% of LICP projects prior to the first quarter of the fiscal year the project is scheduled for construction.
- Achieve a Servicewide obligation rate of at least 55%.

Cost Estimating: Increase the use of new construction cost estimating software by requiring that all new projects prioritized in the five - year construction project list have a construction cost estimate generated by the new NPS cost estimating software.

Facility size: Increase use of the NPS facility modeling program to accurately predict building size, and associated site improvements. Beginning in FY 2005, when a facility has a modeling program, the results of the model will be submitted and reviewed as part of the NPS Development Advisory Board process.

Meeting Missions Goals: Mission goals and other project specific goals, such as Facility Condition Index goals, are established for each LICP construction project at the time of formulation into the NPS Project Management Information System (PMIS). NPS Mission and Departmental goals for each LICP project will be tracked and evaluated against the stated goals twice during the design development process. The first check will be during Development Advisory Board review, and the second check will be at the end of design development prior to construction advertisement. Reporting to specific goals will be by Parks, and at the end of construction.